

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/529,654	LOCH III ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application: 80**

 (1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Kenneth F. Mitchell, Ph.D.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview: 21 June 2005**
**Time: 10:15am**
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

59 and 65


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner called Applicants' representative for permission to correct the valency problem in claims 59 and 65, under the definition of R(1).*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)